

Key:	>>> ... marks correct (expected) result	N ... number of the results
	> ... marks conditionally correct (acceptable) result	Nrel ... relative number of the results
	± ... marks the result not evaluated	

## Test

Sample	Group	N	Result	N <sub>rel</sub>
--------	-------	---	--------	------------------

## Set 1

**(420) Anti-gliadin IgG (native)**

A1	(2) EIA methods	>>>	19 Negative	100 %
Total per sample: n = 19		Success: 100 %		
B1	(2) EIA methods	>>>	19 Positive	100 %
Total per sample: n = 19		Success: 100 %		
Total success: 100 %				

**(421) Anti-gliadin IgA (native)**

A1	(2) EIA methods	>>>	16 Negative	89 %
			1 Inconclusive result (borderline)	5,6 %
			1 Positive	5,6 %
Total per sample: n = 18		Success: 89 %		
B1	(2) EIA methods	>>>	18 Positive	100 %
Total per sample: n = 18		Success: 100 %		
Total success: 89 %				

**(594) Anti-gliadin IgG (deamidated)**

A1	(1) Fluorescent methods	>>>	1 Negative	1,3 %
			1 Inconclusive result (borderline)	1,3 %
	(2) EIA methods	>>>	61 Negative	81 %
			1 Positive	1,3 %
	(5) LIA, ILMA	>>>	9 Negative	12 %
	(99) Another measurement principle	>>>	2 Negative	2,7 %
Total per sample: n = 75		Success: 97 %		
B1	(1) Fluorescent methods	>>>	2 Positive	2,7 %
	(2) EIA methods	>>>	2 Negative	2,7 %
		>>>	60 Positive	80 %
	(5) LIA, ILMA	>>>	9 Positive	12 %
	(99) Another measurement principle	>>>	2 Positive	2,7 %
Total per sample: n = 75		Success: 97 %		
Total success: 95 %				

**(595) Anti-gliadin IgA (deamidated)**

A1	(1) Fluorescent methods	>>>	2 Negative	2,8 %
	(2) EIA methods	>>>	58 Negative	82 %
			1 Positive	1,4 %
	(5) LIA, ILMA	>>>	8 Negative	11 %
	(99) Another measurement principle	>>>	2 Negative	2,8 %
Total per sample: n = 71		Success: 99 %		
B1	(1) Fluorescent methods	>>>	2 Positive	2,8 %
	(2) EIA methods	>>>	1 Negative	1,4 %
		>>>	1 Inconclusive result (borderline)	1,4 %
		>>>	57 Positive	80 %
	(5) LIA, ILMA	>>>	8 Positive	11 %
	(99) Another measurement principle	>>>	2 Positive	2,8 %
Total per sample: n = 71		Success: 97 %		
Total success: 96 %				

## Set 2

**(424) Anti-endomysium IgA**

A2	(1) Fluorescent methods	>>>	67 Negative	99 %
	(2) EIA methods	>>>	1 Negative	1,5 %
Total per sample: n = 68		Success: 100 %		
B2	(1) Fluorescent methods	>>>	67 Positive	99 %
	(2) EIA methods	>>>	1 Positive	1,5 %
Total per sample: n = 68		Success: 100 %		
Total success: 100 %				

**(425) Anti-transglutaminase IgA**

A2	(1) Fluorescent methods	>>>	1 Negative	1,2 %
	(2) EIA methods	>>>	63 Negative	77 %
			1 Inconclusive result (borderline)	1,2 %
	(5) LIA, ILMA	>>>	16 Negative	20 %
	(99) Another measurement principle	>>>	1 Negative	1,2 %
Total per sample: n = 82		Success: 99 %		
B2	(1) Fluorescent methods	>>>	1 Positive	1,2 %
	(2) EIA methods	>>>	64 Positive	78 %

## Test

Sample	Group	N	Result	N <sub>rel</sub>
B2	(5) LIA, ILMA	>>> 16	Positive	20 %
	(99) Another measurement principle	>>> 1	Positive	1,2 %
----- Total per sample: n = 82 -----		Success: 100 %		
Total success: 99 %				

## Set 3

## (592) Anti-Saccharomyces cerevisiae IgA

A3	(1) Fluorescent methods	>>> 20	Positive	33 %
	(2) EIA methods	>>> 39	Positive	65 %
	(5) LIA, ILMA	>>> 1	Positive	1,7 %
----- Total per sample: n = 60 -----		Success: 100 %		
B3	(1) Fluorescent methods	>>> 20	Negative	33 %
	(2) EIA methods	>>> 39	Negative	65 %
	(5) LIA, ILMA	>>> 1	Negative	1,7 %
----- Total per sample: n = 60 -----		Success: 100 %		
Total success: 100 %				

## (593) Anti-Saccharomyces cerevisiae IgG

A3	(1) Fluorescent methods	1	Negative	1,8 %
	(2) EIA methods	>>> 36	Positive	65 %
	(5) LIA, ILMA	>>> 1	Positive	1,8 %
----- Total per sample: n = 55 -----		Success: 98 %		
B3	(1) Fluorescent methods	>>> 18	Negative	33 %
	(2) EIA methods	>>> 36	Negative	65 %
	(5) LIA, ILMA	>>> 1	Negative	1,8 %
----- Total per sample: n = 55 -----		Success: 100 %		
Total success: 98 %				